	Project Info	rmation							
County:	Walton		Applicant Initial Score	69					
Project Title:	Poinciana Blvd Roadway and Drainage Improvement Project								
Project Sponsor:	Walton County								
Requested Funding:	\$573,000								
Requested Phases:	Construction and CEI								
Qualifying Activity:	Sidewalk								
Scope of Work:	The completed project design incl From US Highway 98 to Scenic G improvements. The project scope traffic flow, a new 5 foot wide side a new 10 foot wide multi-use path new traffic signals and pedestrian Scenic Gulf Drive. Additional work culverts, and storm sewer as well signalization of the Poinciana Blvc controlled pedestrian access acro signals. US Hwy 98 currently has project will provide pedestrian cor centers, a church, a school, reside (the Gulf of Mexico Beach access	aulf Drive to implement of work includes recovally along the west staleng the east side of crosswalks at the interpretarion of the control of	t a road diet and pedes offiguring Poinciana Blvd. If Poinciana Blvd.	strian  vd. for better right-of-way, of-way, and Blvd. and itches, d. The ide a edestrian  ve. The us retail tractions each area.					
Road Name:	Poinciana Blvd and intersection of CR 2378 (Scenic Gulf Drive)	Project Regin:							
Roadway ID:	Project End: Intersection of Poinciana Blvd 2378 (Scenic Gulf Dr)								
Applicant Primary Contact:	Anna Hudson, P.E., Long Range Infrastructure Program Engineer 850-892-8108 hudanna@co.walton.fl.us								
Applicant Alternative Contact:									

	Application Information	Included?	Notes
1.	Completed application with Priority Number	Yes	Priority number to be included if advanced by TPO. Priority 1 of 1 for Walton County.
2.	Signed, update-to-date Adopted Resolutions of support	Yes	December 18, 2023 Walton County Resolution
3.	8.5 x 11 Location Map with Limits	Yes	
4.	8.5 x 11 Typical Section (Existing and Proposed)	Yes	
5.	R/W Ownership Information	Yes	
6.	R/ W details (widths)	Yes	
7.	Cost estimate	Yes	
8.	Compliance documentation (comp plan, bike plan, etc)	Yes	
9.	Public letters of support	Yes	2 Letters of Support from Scenic Walton and Howard Group
10.	Application deadline to TPO (1/19/24)	Yes	

	Supplemental Information	Included?	Notes
1.	Supplemental data for scoring	Yes	
2.	Local traffic counts with summary of methodology this includes the machine type, axle detection, vehicle classifications, the dates and the times that the traffic was counted. A traffic count of 48 hours is the minimum.	Yes	
3.	References to planning documents must include the document title.	Yes	

4.	When asked to provide a brief description of a claimed criterion, please limit the description to one short paragraph.	Yes	
5.	If a claimed criterion is mapped, please note that it is mapped in the Criterion Category.	Yes	

Eligibility Determination	Yes / No?	Notes
Eligible Project Sponsor <sup>1</sup>	Yes	
Safe Routes Included (if applicable)	No	
Outstanding Issues?	No	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.fdot.gov/programmanagement/LAP/Certified.shtm

	ECRC Evaluation and Cross-Check							
#	Category	Max Possible Score	Applicant Initial Score	ECRC Revised Score	Notes			
1	Safety	25	13	18				
	Crash Data for Proje	ect - Scored o	rashes are car	accidents that	involve pedestrians and/or cyclists (select one)			
	Low crash corridor = < 3 pedestrian/cyclist incidents from the past 5 years	1	1	1	0 bike/ped crashes on corridor within the last five years			
	Moderate crash corridor = 3-10 pedestrian/cyclist incidents from the past 5 years	2	-	-				
	High crash corridor = > 10 pedestrian /cyclist incidents from the past 5 years	3	-	-				
					The maximum radius for exposure is ¼ mile. Scored			
		hes are car a	ccidents that in	nvolve pedestri	ans and/or cyclists. (select one)			
	Moderate crash corridor = 3-10 pedestrian/cyclist incidents from past 5 years	2	2	-	2 bike/ped crashes within ¼ mile of the corridor			
	High crash corridor = >10 pedestrian/cyclist incidents from past 5 years	3	-	-	over the last 5 years.			
		Safety Issue	e - Provide brie	ef descriptions	for each claimed criterion			
	Posted speed limit over	1	0	0				
	30 mph in project area Improves mobility for disabled, elderly or youth populations - (Please provide an address and note location on map for the affected facility)	1	1	1				
	Improves access to areas within or adjacent to an area/zone with 50% of households below poverty rate- as Identified by the Census	1	0	0				
	Project design encourages traffic	1	1	1				

	ECRC Evaluation and Cross-Check							
#	Category	Max Possible Score	Applicant Initial Score	ECRC Revised Score	Notes			
	calming or vehicle lane narrowing (road diet)							
	Improves visibility of non-drivers to motorists	1	1	1				
	Reduce Human Exposure – Project reduces exposure between motor vehicles and vulnerable pedestrians and bicyclists							
	by employing a "physical barrier" or "defined space" into the project design.							
	A physical barrier includes but is not limited to a pedestrian island, buffered sidewalk, protected bike lane, buffered curb, landscaping divide, or green way between road and proposed facility.	1	1	1				
	A "defined space" includes but is not limited to crosswalks, green lanes, striped bike lanes and a minimum 4-foot-wide shoulder.	1	1	1				
			Vehic	le Traffic (selec	ct one)			
	40,001+	12	-	12	,			
	35,001-40,000	11	-					
	30,001 to 35,000	10	-					
	25,001-30,000	9	-					
	20,001-25,000	8	_					
	15,001-20,000	7	-					
	10,001-15,000	6	-		US 98 AADT: 51,000			
	5,001-10,000	5	5		2337,000			
	4,001-5,000	4						
	3,001-4,000	3						
	2,001 – 3,000	2						
	Less than 2,000	1						
2	Connectivity	20	18	18				
	Commediatey	20		neral Connecti	wity			
	Improves access to commercial areas	3	3	3	vicy			
	Improves access to parks and recreational areas	3	3	3				

	ECRC Evaluation and Cross-Check					
#	Category	Max Possible Score	Applicant Initial Score	ECRC Revised Score	Notes	
	Provides pedestrian/bicycle facilities where none exist	3	3	3		
	Project conforms to any TPO, Local Government, Regional or State Plan for current or future connectivity	3	3	3		
	Fills a documented gap in an existing transportation network	3	3	3		
	Provides pedestrian/bicycle facilities between a K- 12 School and a zoned residential area	2	2	2		
			Transit C	onnectivity (se	elect one)	
	Connects to existing bike/ped facility & does not connect to a transit stop	1	1	1		
	Connects to existing bike/ped facility & <1/2 mile from transit stop	2	-	-		
	Connects to existing bike/ped facility & <1/4 mile from transit stop	3	-	-		
3	Location Efficiency	15	15	15		
	High Interest	7	7	7		
	Moderate Interest	5	5	5		
	Low Interest	3	3	3		
				_		
4	Public Support	10	5	5		
	Resolution of support from 1 local government + 2 letters of support (1 letter from a private source and 1 from a public source) *	5	5	5	1 Resolution of Support. 2 letters of support.	
	Resolutions of support from 2 local governments; or a	10	-	-		

	ECRC Evaluation and Cross-Check						
#	Category	Max Possible Score	Applicant Initial Score	ECRC Revised Score	Notes		
	resolution of support from 1 local government + 4 letters of support (2 letters from a private source and 2 from a public source)*						
5	Proximity to School	10	10	10			
	Project > 2 Mile from a school	0	-	-			
	Project within 1-2 mile of a school	5	-	-			
	Project within 1 mile of a school	10	10	10			
6	Design Quality	10	7	7			
	Addresses both walking and biking	1	1	1			
	Buffered/Protected bicycle lane, and/or separated multiuse path > 5, or sidewalk > 5'	2	2	2			
	Provides bike parking or seating for pedestrians	1	1	1			
	Provides trailheads, staging areas and parking	1	0	0			
	Provides desirable amenities such as fitness stations, public art, pedestrian scale lighting, unique way finding, repair stands, etc.	2	2	2	Intersection lighting will light the crosswalk.		
	Prior Phases of this project are under construction or have been completed.	2	0	0			
	All Right of Way has been secured or none is needed	1	1	1			
7	Env / Archy / Historic	10	1	1			

	ECRC Evaluation and Cross-Check						
#	Category	Max Possible Score	Applicant Initial Score	ECRC Revised Score	Notes		
	Project includes elements that use renewable energy sources, semi permeable materials, recycled materials or other green technologies and LEED standards	1	0	0			
	Restores or preserves environmentally sensitive lands, cultural resources or agricultural lands; or conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other non- motorized transportation users	1	0	0			
	Includes an environmental mitigation plan - project is in proximity to environmentally sensitive lands, cultural resources or agricultural lands and/or there is a plan to avoid, minimize or mitigate impacts	1	1	1			
	Includes community partnership between governmental and nongovernmental organizations	1	0	0			
	Relieves a threat to an existing historic resource; or historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities	1	0	0			
	Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas	1	0	0			
	Project enhances access to an existing or planned activity center. (Planned activity	1	0	0			

	ECRC Evaluation and Cross-Check						
#	Category	Max Possible Score	Applicant Initial Score	ECRC Revised Score	Notes		
	centers must be defined in a Capital improvement Plan or similar document that shows construction beginning in 5 years.)						
	Removes existing visual blighting influence; or substantially enhances visual environment; inventory, control, or removal of outdoor advertising	1	0	0			
	Vegetation management practices in transportation rights- of-way to improve roadway safety, prevent against invasive species, and provide erosion control	1	0	0			
	Provides bike-ped access to deter automobile access to environmentally sensitive areas; or other pollution abatement activities as described in 23 U.S.C. 133 (h) (3) (FAST Act § 1109)	1	0	0			
	Points Possible	100	69	74			